



About the Tool

The Partner Due Diligence
Assessment Tool was developed
specifically for charities seeking to
partner with overseas organisations
who provide residential care
services for children.

It is designed to help you determine whether your partner or prospective partner is operating in accordance with standard notions of good practice and international norms. It provides a list of questions that can be asked or answered through various means including by looking at the organisation's website, marketing materials, policies, annual reports, key governing documents and through site visits and discussions. It includes two sections, covering a basic organisational assessment and an assessment covering the residential care facility and service run by the third-party organisation.

The questions are worded in such a way that good practice would be indicated by a 'yes' response, and 'no' responses indicates gaps or areas of concern. Question 7.12 is the exception to this rule. In this case, any 'yes' is a clear red flag as indicated by the question.



Section One

Organisational Assessment

1.	Lega	ally Registered and Duly Licensed			Ways to Verify
1.1		e organisation registered as a local or international not for entity in the country of operation?	Yes	No	Certificate of Entity Registration
					 Certified copy of the constitution
1.2	Is the residential care facility appropriately registered or licensed to operate residential services for children?		Yes	No	Certificate of Registration
					 Copy of license
					Memorandum of
					understanding
2.	Gove	ernance			Ways to Verify
2.1	Does	the organisation have a formal governing instrument?	Yes	No	Constitution
	Does	the constitution/governing instrument include articles			• By-laws
	that a	address:			Articles of association
	2.2	Appointment and termination of board members	Yes	No	_
	2.3	Charitable purpose and status as a not for profit organisation	Yes	No	
	2.4	Distribution of assets in the case of dissolution	Yes	No	_
	2.5	Does the entity have a documented board appointed in accordance with the constitution?	Yes	No	 Copy of board structure as officially recorded with government
	2.6	Does the board meet regularly and function according to	Yes	No	Organisational records
	the constitution?				Verbal confirmation
	2.7 Are there any obvious conflicts of interest that could impact governance?		Yes	No	Board Conflict of Interest Register
					Check for board independence from staff
					 Check to see if board members are related to the director/s
	2.8	Is the organisation currently involved in any litigation or subject to serious complaints or investigations?	Yes	No	Include clause requiring disclosure in partnership agreement
					Online search

3. Financial Controls Ways to Verify

3.1	Does the organisation have controls in place to manage the risk of fraud and/or misappropriation of funds?			No	Finance Policy
					Risk Management Policy
					Annual Reports
	This	should include at minimum:	Audited Reports		
	3.2	A bank account in the organisation's name	Yes	No	- Marica reports
	3.3	A requirement for two signatories to withdraw funds	Yes	No	_
	3.4	A clear operational budget and means of recording and tracking expenses against the budget	Yes	No	_
	3.5	A transparent process for recording gifts and donations	Yes	No	_
	3.6	A requirement to obtain and keep original receipts for expenditure	Yes	No	_
	3.7	A transparent financial reporting process	Yes	No	_
	3.8	Periodic audits of accounts	Yes	No	
3.9	Does the organisation publish or make available annual reports		Yes	No	Annual Report
	which include financial statements?				Website check

4. **Child Protection** Ways to Verify 4.1 Does the organisation have a written child safeguarding policy No · Child Safeguarding/ Yes (otherwise called a child protection policy) in place? Protection Policy · Website audit. You can check to make sure the organisation At minimum, does the policy include: doesn't: 4.2 A commitment to safeguarding, including through No Yes Disclose children's providing staff and stakeholders with appropriate training and providing information to children personal or identifying information online 4.3 Child safe screening and recruiting practices Yes No 4.4 Code of Conduct Yes No Advertise orphanage tourism or unskilled 4.5 Definitions of abuse Yes No volunteering 4.6 Clear procedure for reporting concerns or allegations, Yes No including child safe and friendly reporting procedures. Communications Policy 4.7 Child safe communication and media protocols, Yes No Child Sponsorship Policy including use of children's images, protection of privacy or guidelines. Check if and identity. the organisation puts No 4.8 Prohibition on orphanage tourism and unskilled Yes appropriate boundaries in volunteering, and clauses that limit the use of skilled place to manage contact and volunteers to non-caregiver roles. communication between 4.9 Requirement for all staff and stakeholders to sign the Yes No children and sponsors. policy.

Section Two:

Assessment of Residential Care Facilities and Services

This section of the assessment deals with the technical aspects of the program and is based on the International norms and standards taken from the Convention on the Rights of the Child, Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, and the Guidelines for the Alternative Care of Children. It is organised around three key pillars central to the international norms:

- The Necessity Principle: ensuring alternative care is used only when genuinely required
- The Suitability Principle: ensuring children access the type of alternative care best suited to their needs
- The Best Interest of the Child Principle: ensuring all decisions are made in children's best interests, with their participation and with respect for their holistic rights and individual needs.

Tip:

This section contains some technical questions which may be more challenging to assess. If there are concepts or questions that you are unfamiliar with, we recommend you refer to the relevant sections of the Moving Forward: Implementing the Guidelines for the Alternative Care for Children manual. The manual is an excellent and very practical resource which unpacks the principles and provides helpful case studies and examples.

Whilst it is completely reasonable for Australian charities who fund or partner with overseas programs to have limited technical knowledge of alternative care, overseas organisation directly running residential care services should be able to demonstrate a working knowledge of these principles. If they do not, it may indicate they lack the qualifications and expertise to work with highly vulnerable children and provide appropriate and professional services. In these cases, Australian charities should consider their options, including facilitating training or seeking more qualified partners.

5.	Awareness of Norms and Standards				Ways to Verify
5.1	Are the directors familiar with the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) and implications for alternative care?	Yes	No	Unsure	 Website check for references Check for reference of the CRC as a guiding principle in policies Verbal conversation
5.2	Are the directors familiar with the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and the implications for the care of children with disabilities?	Yes	No	Unsure	PoliciesWebsite communicationsVerbal conversations
5.3	Are the directors and staff aware of the Guidelines for the Alternative Care of Children and implications for alternative care service providers?	Yes	No	Unsure	Check policiesWebsite/communicationsVerbal conversationsProgram documentation
5.4	Are the directors and staff aware of the National Minimum Standards and Policy for Alternative Care for Children and the implications for their service?	Yes	No	Unsure	 Check policies Procedures Minimum standards inspection reports Verbal conversations

6. **Neccessity Prinicple** Ways to Verify 6.1 Does the organisations seek to prevent Yes No Unsure · Gatekeeping Policy separation by facilitating families' access to Existence of family and family support and strengthening services community services and by promoting informal social support and customary coping strategies? Referral networks Does the organisations have in place a clear Yes No Unsure 6.2 Admission Policy/procedures/ intake criterion for alternative care that forms is in line with national laws, policies and the Guidelines for the Alternative Care for Minimum standards inspection Children? reports Child sponsorship funding 6.3 Are robust gatekeeping mechanisms in place Yes No Unsure models that require child and family assessments be carried out by competent and authorised Clauses included in partnership professionals in order to determine if agreements alternative care is actually necessary? Case management Time bound individual case plans 6.4 Is admission into residential care an option of Yes No Unsure last resort and temporary and only takes place when mandated by the relevant authorities? Does the organisation conduct regular reviews Unsure 6.5 Yes No of all placements of children in residential care and facilitate reunification or reintegration as soon as possible? 6.6 Does the organisation prohibit the active Yes No Unsure recruitment of children into the residential care facility or other irregular admissions? Are you satisfied that the funding model and 6.7 Yes No Unsure

structure doesn't incentivise or encourage unnecessary placement or retention of children

in residential care?

7.	Suitability Principle				Ways to Verify
7.1	Does the organisation have a means of providing or referring children to family-based alternative care and prioritise family placements?	Yes	No	Unsure	Verbal confirmation
					Referral agreements
					 National gatekeeping and referral structures
7.2	Are residential care placements reviewed at minimum every six months for ongoing necessity and suitability?	Yes	No	Unsure	Reintegration Policy
					· Care plans
					Inspection reports
					Verbal confirmation
7.3	Is there a reintegration procedure and policy in place?	Yes	No	Unsure	• Policy
7.4	Is the residential care facility regularly inspected by the government?	Yes	No	Unsure	Inspection Report
					Registration/license agreement
					Verbal confirmation
7.5	Does it meet the minimum standards of residential care (national standards or the international guidelines)	Yes	No	Unsure	Inspection Report
					· Audit Report
					Site visit
7.6	Does the organisation facilitate regular family contact for all children in care?	Yes	No	Unsure	• Policy
					Verbal confirmation
7.7	Does the organisation facilitate participation in community and cultural life for children in care?	Yes	No	Unsure	· Policy
					Verbal confirmation
					Care plans
7.8	Does the organisation provide access to the full range of services required to uphold children's rights?	Yes	No	Unsure	Care plans
					· Verbal confirmation
					Site visit
7.9	Is the residential care facility small with a sufficient number of caregivers and structured as family-like as possible?	Yes	No	Unsure	Site visit
					• Pictures
					• Policies
					Staff to child ratio

7.10	Does the organisation employ suitably qualified and trained staff to work with	Yes	No	Unsure	Verbal confirmationStaff PDs
	children in care? (e.g social workers)				HR Policy
7.11	Does the organisation ensure children under	Yes	No	Unsure	• Policies
	3 are never admitted into residential care, rather ensures young children are placed in or referred to family-based care?				Orphanage Register
					Verbal conformation
					Website check
7.12	Is there evidence of any of the following red	Yes	No	Unsure	Evidence of orphanage tourism
	flags?				on websites, marketing materials, or on the premises.
	 Exploitation: including forced labour, 				or our the premises.

- Exploitation: including forced labour, orphanage tourism, sexual exploitation, servitude paper orphaning.
- Proselytization: are children brought into care for religious conversion or discipleship purposes.
- Discrimination: are children brought into care on the basis of having a disability, being HIV/AIDs affected, ethnicity or gender.
- Abuse: including neglect, emotional abuse, physical abuse including corporal punishment or sexual abuse.
- Substandard conditions: including those that pose a significant risk to a child's safety or wellbeing or could signal exploitation for the purposes of eliciting donations.
- Irregular admissions: including active recruitment of children from their families and communities.

- Marketing/communications
 materials may include references
 to suggest children are in care for
 religious or political purposes. It
 may also indicate discrimination
 where it states children are in care
 solely due to disability, ethnicity,
 or due to their HIV/AIDs status or
 that of their parents.
- Site visits and verbal confirmation may be revealing with respect to some red flags.
- · Reports from local NGOs
- Lack of registration and inspections.
- Unethical or inappropriate use of children's images, stories, or information to elicit sympathy and to seek donations.
- Strong promotion of children as 'orphans'.
- Indications that children's identities have been changed (can be indicated where Western or Christian names are given in non-Western cultures where such names are uncommon).
- Requiring children to perform for visitors or tourists.

8.	Best Interests of the Child Principle				Ways to Verify
8.1	Does the organisation involve the child and their family in decision making and take into account their wishes?	Yes	No	Unsure	• Policies
					Verbal confirmation
					• Care plans
8.2	Does the organisation take into account all		No	Unsure	Best Interest Determinations
	of the child's rights and consider the ways decisions might encroach on or cause a				· Care Plans
	conflict of rights?				Website information (for example where children are admitted into care to provide access to services to address poverty, education or disability, without due regard for how this conflict with their right to family-life)
8.3	Does the organisation have a means of consulting with the child in decision making once in care and informing them of the rationale for decisions made?	Yes	No	Unsure	• Policies
					Verbal confirmationCare plans
8.4	Does the organisation tailor support to the individual needs of children as opposed to providing standardised impersonal care to all	Yes	No	Unsure	Site visits
					Care plans
	children?				 Verbal confirmation

Findings and Decision Making

Based on the information obtained, consider the following questions as you make a decision about partnership:

- Are you confident the organisation is operating in accordance with national laws, international norms and good practice principles?
- · Are there any areas of concern and can those concerns be addressed? If so how?
- Do you need to seek more information or clarity from your prospective partner or do more research yourself before you can make an informed decision?
- Are you confident a partnership with this organisation will allow you to meet all legal and regulatory requirements imposed on your charity?
- Do you have the capacity to sufficiently monitor this partnership to ensuring ongoing compliance?
- Are there any serious concerns or risks to children that need to be reported and addressed?
- Should this partnership be recommended to your board for approval or renewal?

For more information or support, please contact coordinator@rethinkorphanages.org

